

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/607,215	06/27/2003	Yasuhiko Kosugi	Q76236	5698	
23373 7:	590 03/22/2005		EXAMINER		
SUGHRUE M	•	NGUYEN, THINH H			
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20037			2861		
			DATE MAIL ED: 03/22/2004	•	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A 12 A	N-	A 1: 4/->				
<i>r.</i>			ion No.	Applicant(s)  KOSUGI, YASUH	IKO	m		
Office Action Summary		10/607,2 Examine		Art Unit		(0.		
	•	Thinh H.		2861				
	The MAILING DATE of this communicati				Idress			
Period fo	or Reply							
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA' nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor interest or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, the reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no entation. ys, a reply within the stay y period will apply and well apply apply and well apply and well apply apply and well apply and well apply and well apply apply and well apply and well apply apply apply and well apply app	vent, however, may a reply to tutory minimum of thirty (30 vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS plication to become ABAND	pe timely filed  ) days will be considered timel from the mailing date of this c ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n	,					
2a)⊠		 ☐ This action is	non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice u	ınder <i>Ex part</i> e Q	<i>uayl</i> e, 1935 C.Ď. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w	vithdrawn from co	onsideration.					
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.							
•	Claim(s) 24-28 is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election	requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the Ex	caminer.						
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on 27 June 2003 is/s	are: a)⊠ accept	ted or b) objected	I to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is requi	red if the drawing(s) is	s objected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d)	<b>)</b> .		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. N	ote the attached Of	fice Action or form P1	ΓΟ-152.			
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f ⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	oreign priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).				
۵,	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have bee	en received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority doc			cation No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the		• •		Stage			
	application from the International	Bureau (PCT Ru	le 17.2(a)).					
* \$	See the attached detailed Office action for	r a list of the cert	ified copies not rece	eived.				
Attachmen	t(s)							
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summ	nary (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9		Paper No(s)/Ma		160			
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/ r No(s)/Mail Date	/SB/08)	6) Other:	arratent Application (PTC	J-10 <i>2)</i>			

Art Unit: 2861

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Double Patenting**

1. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

- 2. Claims 1-23 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-23 of copending Application No. 10/388,526. This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.
- 3. Applicant is advised that should claim 1 be found allowable, claim 28 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Application/Control Number: 10/607,215

Art Unit: 2861

### Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 24-27 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Response to Amendment

Applicant's Amendment filed December 22, 2004 has been entered and carefully considered. Claim 28 has been added.

Applicants' arguments with respect to the new issue "accumulator" citing in claim 28 have been considered as noted in the above new grounds of rejection.

The previous Double Patenting rejection remained unchanged as the conflicting copending Application No. 10/388,526 have not in fact been abandoned.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

#### Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to examiner Thinh Nguyen at telephone number (571) 272-2257. The examiner can generally be reached Mon-Wed, Thursday from 9:00A – 5:00P. The official fax phone number for the organization is (703) 872-9306.

The examiner supervisor, Dave Talbott, can also be reached at (571) 272-1934.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.



Thinh Nguyen

March 15, 2005

Thinh Nguyen
Primary Examiner
Technology Center 2900